arXiv:math/0703511v1 [math.AP] 17 Mar 2007

# An Obstacle Control problem involving the $p$-Laplacian

Mouna Kraïem
Université de Cergy Pontoise , Departement de Mathematiques, Site de Saint-Martin
2, avenue Adolphe Chauvin, 95302 Cergy Pontoise Cedex, France
e-mail: Mouna.Kraiem@math.u-cergy.fr

**Abstract**

In this paper, we consider the analogous of the obtacle problem in $H_0^1(\Omega)$, on the space $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. We prove an existence and uniqueness of the result. In a second time, we define the optimal control problem associated. The results, here enclosed, generalize the one obtained by D.R. Adams, S. Lenhard in [1], [2] in the case $p = 2$.

Key words and phrases: Obstacle problem, Approximation, Optimal Pair.

## 1 Introduction

Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^N, N \geq 2$, whose boundary is $\mathcal{C}^1$ piecewise. For $p > 1$ and for $\psi$ given in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, define

$$K(\psi) = \{v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega), v \geq \psi \text{ a.e. in } \Omega\}.$$

It is clear that $K(\psi)$ is a convex and weakly closed set in $L^p(\Omega)$. Let $p'$ be the conjugate of $p$, and $f \in L^{p'}(\Omega)$. We consider the following variational inequality called *the obstacle problem*:

$$\begin{cases} u \in K(\psi), \\ \displaystyle\int_\Omega \sigma(u) \cdot \nabla(v-u)\, dx \geq \int_\Omega f(v-u)\, dx,\ \forall v \in K(\psi), \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where $\sigma(u) = |\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u$. We shall say that $\psi$ is *the obstacle* and $f$ is *the source term*.

We begin to prove existence and uniqueness of a solution $u$ to (1.1), using variational formulation of the obstacle problem on the set $K(\psi)$. We shall then denote $u$ by: $u = T_f(\psi)$. Secondly, we characterize $T_f(\psi)$ as the lowest $f-$superharmonic function greater than $\psi$.

## 2 Existence and uniqueness of the solution

**Proposition 1.** *A function $u$ is a solution to the problem* (1.1) *if and only if $u$ satisfies the following:*

$$\begin{cases} u \in K(\psi), \\ -\Delta_p u \geq f, \ \ a.e. \ \ in\ \Omega, \\ \displaystyle\int_\Omega \sigma(u) \cdot \nabla(\psi - u)\ dx = \int_\Omega f(\psi - u)\ dx. \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

*Proof of Proposition* 1. Suppose that $u$ satisfies (1.1). Then taking $v = u + \varphi \in K(\psi)$ for $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$, $\varphi \geq 0$, one gets that $-\Delta_p u \geq f$ in $\Omega$. Moreover, For $v = \psi$ and $v = 2u - \psi$, one gets that

$$\int_\Omega \sigma(u) \cdot \nabla(\psi - u)\ dx = \int_\Omega f(\psi - u)\ dx,$$

hence $u$ satisfies (2.1).

Conversely, let $u \in K(\psi)$ such that $-\Delta_p u \geq f$, let $v$ be in $K(\psi)$ and $\varphi_n \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega), \varphi_n \geq 0$ such that $\varphi_n \to v - \psi$ in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Then one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega \sigma(u) \cdot \nabla(v - \psi) &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_\Omega \sigma(u) \cdot \nabla\varphi_n \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_\Omega -\Delta_p u\ \varphi_n \\ &\geq \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_\Omega f\varphi_n = \int_\Omega f(v - \psi),\ \forall\ v \in K(\psi). \end{aligned}$$

Using the last equality of (2.1), one gets that

$$\int_\Omega \sigma(u) \cdot \nabla(v - u) \geq \int_\Omega f(v - u),\ \forall\ v \in K(\psi),$$

hence $u$ satisfies (1.1). □

Let us prove now the existence and uniqueness of a solution to the obstacle problem (1.1).

**Proposition 2.** *There exists a solution to* (1.1), *which can be obtained as the minimizer of the following minimization problem*

$$\inf_{v \in K(\psi)} I(v), \tag{2.2}$$

*where $I$ is the following energy functional*

$$I(v) = \frac{1}{p}\int_\Omega |\nabla v|^p - \int_\Omega f v.$$

*Proof of Proposition* 2. Using classical arguments in the calculus of variations, since $K(\psi)$ is a weakly closed convex set in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, and the functional $I$ is convex and coercive on $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, then one obtains that there exists a solution $u$ to (2.2). ☐

**Proposition 3.** *The inequation* (1.1) *possesses a unique solution.*

*Proof of Proposition* 3. Suppose that $u_1, u_2 \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ are two solutions of the variational inequality (1.1)

$$u_i \in K(\psi) : \int_\Omega \sigma(u_i)\cdot\nabla(v-u_i)\,dx \geq \int_\Omega f(v-u_i)\,dx,\ \forall\ v\in K(\psi),\ i=1,2$$

Taking $v = u_1$ for $i = 2$ and $v = u_2$ for $i = 1$ and adding, we have

$$\int_\Omega [\sigma(u_1)-\sigma(u_2)]\cdot\nabla(u_1-u_2) \leq 0.$$

Recall that we have

$$\int_\Omega [\sigma(u_1)-\sigma(u_2)]\cdot\nabla(u_1-u_2) \geq 0,$$

which implies that

$$\int_\Omega [\sigma(u_1)-\sigma(u_2)]\cdot\nabla(u_1-u_2) = 0,$$

and then, $u_1 = u_2$ a.e in $\Omega$. ☐

Thus, we get the existence and uniqueness of a solution to (1.1).

**Definition 1.** We shall say that $u$ is $f-$*superhamonic* in $\Omega$, if $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is a weak solution to $-\Delta_p u \geq f$, in the sense of distributions.

**Proposition 4.** *A function $u$ is a solution of* (1.1)*, if and only if $u$ is the lowest $f-$superharmonic function, greater than $\psi$.*

*Proof of Proposition* 4. Let $u$ be a solution of (1.1) and $v$ be an $f-$super harmonic function, greater than $\psi$. Let $\xi = \max(u, v),\ \xi \in K(\psi)$. Recalling that $v^- = \sup(0, -v)$, one has then $(\xi - u) = -(v-u)^-$. From (1.1), one gets

$$\int_\Omega \sigma(u) \cdot \nabla(\xi - u) \geq \int_\Omega f(\xi - u).$$

On the other hand, since $\xi - u \leq 0$ and $-\Delta_p v \geq f$, we have

$$\int_\Omega \sigma(v) \cdot \nabla(\xi - u) \leq \int_\Omega f(\xi - u).$$

We obtain, subtracting the above two inequalities:

$$\int_\Omega [\sigma(v) - \sigma(u)] \cdot \nabla(\xi - u) \leq 0,$$

which implies that

$$-\int_\Omega [\sigma(v) - \sigma(u)] \cdot \nabla(v - u)^- \leq 0,$$

and then $(v-u)^- = 0$, or equivalently $u \leq v$ in $\Omega$. $\square$

Recall that we define by $T_f(\psi)$ the lowest $f-$superharmonic function, greater than $\psi$.

**Lemma 1.** *The mapping $\psi \mapsto T_f(\psi)$ is increasing.*

*Proof of Lemma* 1. Let $u_1 = T_f(\psi_1)$ and $u_2 = T_f(\psi_2)$, which are respectively solutions to the following variational inequalities

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u_i \geq f \\ u_i \geq \psi_i,\ i = 1, 2 \end{cases}$$

and let $\psi_1 \leq \psi_2$. It is clear that $u_2 \geq \psi_1$. Hence $u_2$ is $f-$superharmonic and using Proposition 4, one obtains $u_1 \leq u_2$. $\square$

**Proposition 5.** *The mapping $\psi \mapsto T_f(\psi)$ is weak lower semicontinuous, in the sense that:*

- *If $\psi_k \rightharpoonup \psi$ weakly in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, then $T_f(\psi) \leq \liminf\limits_{k\to\infty} T_f(\psi_k)$.*
- $\displaystyle\int_\Omega |\nabla(T_f(\psi))|^p \leq \liminf_{k\to\infty} \int_\Omega |\nabla(T_f(\psi_k))|^p.$

*Proof of Proposition* 5. Let $(\psi_k)$ be a sequence in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ which converges weakly in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ to $\psi$, and let $\varphi_k = \min(\psi_k, \psi)$. Since $T_f$ is increasing, one gets that $T_f(\varphi_k) \leq T_f(\psi_k)$. We now prove that $T_f(\varphi_k)$ converges strongly in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ towards $T_f(\psi)$. This will imply that

$$T_f(\psi) = \lim_{k\to\infty} T_f(\varphi_k) \leq \liminf_{k\to\infty} T_f(\psi_k).$$

We denote $u_k$ as $T_f(\varphi_k)$. It is clear that $u_k$ is bounded in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ since $\varphi_k \leq \psi$. Hence for a subsequence, still denoted $u_k$, there exists some $u$ in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that

$$\nabla u_k \rightharpoonup \nabla u \text{ weakly in } L^p(\Omega),\ u_k \to u \text{ strongly in } L^p(\Omega). \tag{2.3}$$

On the other hand, using the fact that $\varphi_k$ converges weakly to $\psi$ in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ (see Lemma 2 below), one gets the following assertion:

$$u_k \geq \varphi_k \Longrightarrow u \geq \psi.$$

Let us prove now that $u$ is a solution of the minimizing problem (2.2). For that aim, for $v \in K(\psi)$, since $v \geq \psi \geq \varphi_k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{p}\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p - \int_\Omega fu &\leq \liminf_{k\to\infty} \frac{1}{p}\int_\Omega |\nabla u_k|^p - \int_\Omega fu_k \\
&\leq \liminf_{k\to\infty} \inf_{w\geq\varphi_k} \left\{\frac{1}{p}\int_\Omega |\nabla w|^p - \int_\Omega fw\right\} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{p}\int_\Omega |\nabla v|^p - \int_\Omega fv.
\end{aligned}$$

Then $u$ realizes the infimum in (2.2). At the same time, since $u \in K(\psi)$, one has the following convergence

$$\frac{1}{p}\int_\Omega |\nabla u_k|^p - \int_\Omega fu_k \longrightarrow \frac{1}{p}\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p - \int_\Omega fu, \text{ when } k \to \infty,$$

which implies that $u_k$ converges strongly to $u$ in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. We can conclude that $T_f(\varphi_k)$ converges strongly to $T_f(\psi)$. □

**Lemma 2.** *Suppose that $\psi_k$ converges weakly to some $\psi$ in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Then, $\varphi_k = \min(\psi_k, \psi)$ converges weakly to $\psi$ in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$.*

*Proof of Lemma* 2. We have

$$\psi_k \longrightarrow \psi \quad \text{in } L^p(\Omega).$$

Then

$$\varphi_k = \frac{\psi_k + \psi - |\psi_k - \psi|}{2} \longrightarrow \psi \quad \text{in } L^p(\Omega).$$

Let us prove now that $|\nabla \varphi_k|$ is bounded in $L^p(\Omega)$. For that aim, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega |\nabla \varphi_k|^p &= \int_\Omega \left| \nabla \left( \frac{\psi_k + \psi - |\psi_k - \psi|}{2} \right) \right|^p \\ &\leq C_p \left( \int_\Omega |\nabla \psi_k|^p + \int_\Omega |\nabla \psi|^p \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the sequence $\varphi_k$ is bounded in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, so it converges weakly, up to a subsequence, to $\psi$ in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. $\square$

**Proposition 6.** *The mapping $T_f$ is an involution, i.e. $T_f^2 = T_f$.*

*Proof of Proposition* 6. Up to replacing $\psi$ by $u$ in the variational inequalities (1.1), and using proposition 4, one gets that $u = T_f(u)$. Then, we conclude that $T_f^2(\psi) = T_f(\psi)$. $\square$

## 3 A method of penalization

Let $\mathcal{M}^+(\Omega)$ be the set of all nonnegative Radon measures on $\Omega$ and $W^{-1,p'}(\Omega)$ be the dual space of $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ on $\Omega$ where $p'$ is the conjugate of $p$ $(1 < p < \infty)$. Suppose that $u$ solves (1.1). Using the fact that a nonnegative distribution on $\Omega$ is a nonnegative measure on $\Omega$ (cf. [7]), one gets the existence of $\mu \geq 0,\ \mu \in \mathcal{M}^+(\Omega)$, such that

$$\int_\Omega \sigma(u) \cdot \nabla \Phi \, dx - \int_\Omega f \Phi \, dx = \langle \mu, \Phi \rangle, \quad \forall\ \Phi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega), \tag{3.1}$$

that we shall also write $-\Delta_p u = f + \mu, \quad \mu \geq 0$ in $\Omega$.

Let us introduce

$$\beta(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x > 0, \\ x, & x \leq 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

Clearly, $\beta$ is $\mathcal{C}^1$ piecewise, $\beta(x) \leq 0$ and is nondecreasing. Let us consider, for some $\delta > 0$, the following semilinear elliptic equation:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u + \frac{1}{\delta} \beta(u - \psi) = f, & \text{in } \Omega \\ u_{|\partial\Omega} = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

We have the following existence result:

**Theorem 1.** *For any given* $\psi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ *and* $\delta > 0$, (3.3) *possesses a unique solution* $u^\delta$. *Moreover,*

(1) $u^\delta \longrightarrow u$ strongly in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, *as* $\delta \longrightarrow 0$, *with* $u := T_f(\psi)$.

(2) *There exists a unique* $\mu \in W^{-1,p'}(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{M}^+(\Omega)$ *such that:*

  (i) $-\frac{1}{\delta}\beta(u^\delta - \psi) \rightharpoonup \mu$ in $W^{-1,p'}(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{M}^+(\Omega)$.

  (ii) $\langle \mu, T_f(\psi) - \psi \rangle = 0$.

*Proof of Theorem* 1. (1) Let $B$ be defined as $B(r) = \displaystyle\int_0^r \beta(s)ds$, $\forall\ r \in \mathbb{R}$. We introduce the following variational problem

$$\inf_{v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)} \left\{ \frac{1}{p}\int_\Omega |\nabla v|^p + \frac{1}{\delta}\int_\Omega B(v-\psi) - \int_\Omega fv \right\}. \tag{3.4}$$

The functional in (3.4) is coercive, strictly convex and continuous. As a consequence it possesses a unique solution $u^\delta \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Since $B(0) = 0$, one has

$$\frac{1}{p}\int_\Omega |\nabla u^\delta|^p + \frac{1}{\delta}\int_\Omega B(u^\delta - \psi) - \int_\Omega fu^\delta \le \frac{1}{p}\int_\Omega |\nabla \psi|^p - \int_\Omega f\psi,$$

since $B \ge 0$, then $u^\delta$ is bounded in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Extracting from $u^\delta$ a subsequence, there exists $u$ in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, such that

$$\nabla u^\delta \rightharpoonup \nabla u \text{ weakly in } L^p(\Omega),\ u^\delta \to u \text{ strongly in } L^p(\Omega).$$

Using $\frac{1}{\delta}\displaystyle\int_\Omega B(u^\delta - \psi) \le C$ and the continuity of $B$ one has

$$0 \le \int_\Omega B(u-\psi) \le \liminf_{\delta \to 0} \int_\Omega B(u^\delta - \psi) = 0,$$

hence $u \in K(\psi)$.

We want to prove now that $u$ solves (1.1). Let $v \in K(\psi)$, since $B(r) \ge$

0, $\forall\ r \in \mathbb{R}$ one gets:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{p}\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p - \int_\Omega fu &\le \liminf_{\delta\to 0}\left(\frac{1}{p}\int_\Omega |\nabla u^\delta|^p - \int_\Omega fu^\delta\right)\\ &\le \liminf_{\delta\to 0}\left(\frac{1}{p}\int_\Omega |\nabla u^\delta|^p + \frac{1}{\delta}\int_\Omega B(u^\delta-\psi) - \int_\Omega fu^\delta\right)\\ &\le \liminf_{\delta\to 0}\inf_{u\ge\psi}\left\{\frac{1}{p}\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p + \frac{1}{\delta}\int_\Omega B(u-\psi) - \int_\Omega fu\right\}\\ &\le \frac{1}{p}\int_\Omega |\nabla v|^p - \int_\Omega fv.\end{aligned}$$

Then, one concludes that $\nabla u^\delta \longrightarrow \nabla u$ strongly in $L^p(\Omega)$ and since $u \in K(\psi)$, then $u$ solves (1.1).

(2) (i) let $u^\delta$ be the solution of (3.3), since $\nabla u^\delta$ is uniformly bounded in $L^p(\Omega)$ by some constant $C$, we get that $-\Delta_p u^\delta - f$ is bounded in $W^{-1,p'}(\Omega)$, so it converges weakly, up to a subsequence, in $W^{-1,p'}(\Omega)$. Hence, $-\frac{1}{\delta}\beta(u^\delta - \psi)$ converges too, up to a subsequence, in $W^{-1,p'}(\Omega)$, and we have

$$-\frac{1}{\delta}\beta(u^\delta-\psi) \rightharpoonup \mu \text{ weakly in } W^{-1,p'}(\Omega),$$

where $\mu$ is a positive distribution, hence a positive measure. Then, by (1), we see that $u$ and $\mu$ are linked by the relation (3.1).

We now prove (ii): let $u$ be the solution of (1.1). Taking $\varphi = (\psi - u) \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ in the above inequalities, one gets

$$-\frac{1}{\delta}\int_\Omega \beta(u^\delta-\psi)\ (u-\psi)\ dx \le \|\nabla u^\delta\|_p^{p-1}\|\nabla(\psi-u)\|_p + \|f\|_{p'}\|\psi-u\|_p.$$

Since $u \in K(\psi)$, passing to the limit we obtain:

$$\langle \mu, \psi - u\rangle = \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u\cdot\nabla(\psi-u) - \int_\Omega f(\psi-u) = 0,\ \text{by (2.1)}$$

Then (ii) follows. □

# 4 Optimal Control for a Non-Positive Source Term

## 4.1 Optimal control for a non positive source term

**Proposition 7.** *Let* $f, \psi$ *and* $T_f(\psi)$ *be as in* (1.1). *One has*

$$\frac{1}{p}\int_\Omega |\nabla T_f(\psi)|^p \le \frac{1}{p}\int_\Omega |\nabla\psi|^p\ dx + \int_\Omega f[T_f(\psi)-\psi]\ dx.$$

*Proof of Proposition* 7. From (1.1) taking $v = \psi$ and using Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla T_f(\psi)|^p \leq \frac{p-1}{p} \|\nabla T_f(\psi)\|_p^p + \frac{1}{p}\|\nabla \psi\|_p^p + \int_\Omega f[T_f(\psi) - \psi] \ dx.$$

□

Note that since $T_f(\psi) \geq \psi$, it follows that if $f \leq 0$, then

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla T_f(\psi)|^p \ dx \leq \int_\Omega |\nabla \psi|^p \ dx. \tag{4.1}$$

Let us now introduce the following problem, said "*optimal control problem*":

$$\inf_{\widetilde{\psi} \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)} J_f(\widetilde{\psi}), \tag{4.2}$$

where

$$J_f(\widetilde{\psi}) = \frac{1}{p} \int_\Omega \left\{ |T_f(\widetilde{\psi}) - z|^p + |\nabla \widetilde{\psi}|^p \right\} \ dx, \tag{4.3}$$

for some given $z \in L^p(\Omega)$. $z$ is said to be *the initial profile*, $\psi$ is *the control variable* and $T_f(\psi)$ is *the state variable.* The pair $(\psi^*, T_f(\psi^*))$ where $\psi^*$ is a solution for (4.2) is called an optimal pair and $\psi^*$ an optimal control.

In this section, we establish the existence and uniqueness of the optimal pair in the case where $f \leq 0$.

**Theorem 2.** *If $f \in L^{p'}(\Omega)$, $f \leq 0$ on $\Omega$, then there exists a unique optimal control $\psi^* \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ for (4.2). Moreover, the corresponding state $u^*$ coincides with $\psi^*$, i.e. $T_f(\psi^*) = \psi^*$.*

*Proof of Theorem* 2. In a first time we prove that there exists a pair of solutions of the form $(u^*, u^*)$, hence $(u^* = T_f(u^*))$. Let $(\psi_k)_k$ be a minimizing sequence for (4.3), then $T_f(\psi_k)$ is bounded in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, therefore $T_f(\psi_k)$ converges for a subsequence towards some $u^* \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Moreover, using the lower semicontinuity of $T_f$ as in proposition 5, one gets

$$T_f(u^*) \leq \liminf_{k\to\infty} T_f(T_f(\psi_k)) \leq \lim_{k\to\infty} T_f(\psi_k) = u^*,$$

and by the definition of $T_f$, $T_f(u^*) \geq u^*$. Hence $u^* = T_f(u^*)$.

We prove that $(u^*, u^*)$ is an optimal pair. Using proposition 5, by the lower semicontinuity in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ of $T_f$:

$$\begin{aligned}
J_f(u^*) &= \frac{1}{p}\int_\Omega \{|u^* - z|^p + |\nabla u^*|^p\}\ dx \\
&\leq \liminf_{k\to\infty} \frac{1}{p}\int_\Omega \{|T_f(\psi_k) - z)|^p + |\nabla \psi_k|^p\}\ dx \\
&= \inf_{\psi\in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)} J_f(\psi).
\end{aligned}$$

Secondly, we prove that every optimal pair is of the form $(u^*, u^*)$. Observe that if $(\psi^*, T_f(\psi^*))$ is a solution then $(T_f(\psi^*), T_f(\psi^*))$ is a solution. Indeed

$$\int_\Omega \{|T_f(\psi^*) - z)|^p + |\nabla T_f(\psi^*)|^p\}\ dx \leq \int_\Omega \{|T_f(\psi^*) - z)|^p + |\nabla \psi^*|^p\}\ dx.$$

So

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla T_f(\psi^*)|^p\ dx = \int_\Omega |\nabla \psi^*|^p\ dx, \tag{4.4}$$

by inequality (4.1), using the Hölder's inequality, one obtains then

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq \int_\Omega f(\psi^* - T_f(\psi^*))\ dx \\
&\leq \int_\Omega \sigma(T_f(\psi^*)) \cdot \nabla(\psi^* - T_f(\psi^*))\ dx \\
&\leq \int_\Omega |\nabla T_f(\psi^*)|^{p-2} \nabla T_f(\psi^*) \cdot \nabla\psi^* - \int_\Omega |\nabla T_f(\psi^*)|^p \\
&\leq \left(\int_\Omega |\nabla T_f(\psi^*)|^p\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\int_\Omega |\nabla T_f(\psi^*)|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} - \int_\Omega |\nabla T_f(\psi^*)|^p = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla T_f(\psi^*)|^{p-2} \nabla T_f(\psi^*) \cdot \nabla\psi^* - \int_\Omega |\nabla T_f(\psi^*)|^p = 0.$$

Let us recall that by convexity, one has the following inequality

$$\frac{1}{p}\int_\Omega |\nabla\psi^*|^p + \frac{p-1}{p}\int_\Omega |\nabla T_f(\psi^*)|^p - \int_\Omega |\nabla T_f(\psi^*)|^{p-2}\nabla T_f(\psi^*) \cdot \nabla\psi^* \geq 0.$$

Then the equality holds and by the strict convexity, one gets $\nabla(\psi^*) = \nabla(T_f(\psi^*))$ a.e., hence $\psi^* = T_f(\psi^*)$. Finally, we deduce from the two previous steps that the pair is unique. Suppose that $(u_1, u_1)$ and $(u_2, u_2)$ are two solutions, and consider $(\frac{u_1+u_2}{2}, T_f(\frac{u_1+u_2}{2}))$. We prove that it is also a solution. Indeed:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_\Omega \left|\frac{u_1+u_2}{2} - z\right|^p &+ \left|\nabla T_f(\frac{u_1+u_2}{2})\right|^p \, dx \\
&\leq \int_\Omega \left|\frac{u_1+u_2}{2} - z\right|^p + \left|\nabla \left(\frac{u_1+u_2}{2}\right)\right|^p \, dx \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}\left(J_f(u_1) + J_f(u_2)\right) = \inf_{\psi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)} J_f(\psi),
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that $u_1 = u_2$. Thus, the uniqueness of the optimal pair for $f \leq 0$ holds. □

## 4.2 Optimal control for a nonnegative source term

We are interested here to the case $f \geq 0$ on $\Omega$. In what follows we will denote by $Gf$ the unique function in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ which verifies

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p(Gf) = f, \text{ in } \Omega \text{ a.e.} \\ Gf = 0, \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $f \in L^{p'}(\Omega)$ and $Gf \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

**Theorem 3.** *Suppose that $f \in L^{p'}(\Omega)$ is a nonnegative function. Suppose that $z \in L^p(\Omega)$, satisfying $z \leq Gf$ a.e on $\Omega$. Then the minimizing problem* (4.2) *has a unique optimal pair $(0, Gf)$.*

**Lemma 3.** *Let $T_f(\psi)$ be a solution to* (1.1) *and $Gf$ defined as above. Then $T_f(\psi)$ is greater than $Gf$.*

*Proof of Lemma* 3. We have that $-\Delta_p(Gf) = f$, and $T_f(\psi)$ realizes $-\Delta_p(T_f(\psi)) \geq f$. Then, by the Comparison Theorem for $-\Delta_p$ we get that $Gf \leq T_f(\psi)$. □

*Proof of Theorem* 3. In a first time we prove that $(0, Gf)$ is an optimal pair. Indeed, for all $\psi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$

$$\begin{aligned}
J_f(\psi) &= \frac{1}{p}\int_\Omega \{|Gf - z + T_f(\psi) - Gf|^p + |\nabla\psi|^p\} \\
&\geq \frac{1}{p}\int_\Omega \left\{|Gf - z|^p + p|Gf - z|^{p-2}(Gf - z)(T_f(\psi) - Gf)\right\} \\
&\geq \frac{1}{p}\int_\Omega \{|Gf - z|^p\} \\
&= J_f(0).
\end{aligned}$$

The equality with $(\psi^*, T_f(\psi^*))$ implies that we have equality in each step, so we get $\|\nabla\psi^*\|_p = 0$, then $\psi^* = 0$ a.e. in $\Omega$. Thus, $(0, Gf)$ is the unique optimal control pair. $\square$

## References

[1] ADAMS, D. R., LENHART, S., AND YONG, J., *Optimal control of the obstacle for an elliptic variational inequality,* Appl. Math. Optim. 38 (1998), 121-140.

[2] ADAMS, D. R., LENHART, S., *An obstacle control problem with a source term,* Appl. Math. Optim. 47 (2003), 79-95.

[3] ATTOUCH, H., PICARD, C., *Inéquations variationnelles avec obstacles et espaces fonctionnels en théorie du potentiel. (French) [Variational inequalities with obstacles and function spaces in potential theory],* Applicable Anal. 12 (1981), no. 4, 287–306.

[4] ATTOUCH, H., *Variational convergence for functions and operators* Applicable Mathematics Series. Pitman.

[5] BARBU, V., *Optimal control of variational inequality,* Pitman, London 1984.

[6] BRÉZIS, H. Thése

[7] DEMENGEL, F. *Mesures et distributions, théorie et illustration par les exemples : Mesures de radon, distributions, convolutions, transformations de Fourier, distributions périodiques* Editions Ellipses, (2000).

[8] FRIEDMAN, A., *Optimal control for variational inequality,* SIAM J. Control Optim., 24 (1986), 439-451.

[9] GILBARG, D., AND TRUDINGER, N. S.,, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order,* 2nd edn., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.

[10] J.L. LIONS, *Quelques méthodes de résolution des problémes aux limites non linéaires* Ètudes Mathématiques, Paris 1969

[11] KINDERLEHRER, D., STAMPACCHIA, G., *Introduction to Variational Inequalities and Their Applications,* Academic Press, New York, 1980.

[12] Murat, F., Cioranescu, D. *Un terme étrange venu d'ailleurs,* Non-Linear Partial Differential Equations and Their Applications, Collége de France Seminar, Vols II and III (ed. H. Brezis and J.L. Lions), Research Notes in Mathematics, Vols 60 and 70, Pitman, Boston, MA, pp. 98-138 and 154-178, 1982.

[13] Rodrigues, J., *Obstacle Problems in Mathematical Physics,* North Holland, Amsterdam, 1987.